

© Copyright Statement

All rights reserved. All material in this document is, unless otherwise stated, the property of **FPC International, Inc.** Copyright and other intellectual property laws protect these materials. Reproduction or retransmission of the materials, in whole or in part, in any manner, without the prior written consent of the copyright holder, is a violation of copyright law.

**Provo City Field Trial of
of FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst**

**Prepared by UHI Corporation
Provo, Utah**

September 12, 1995

Table of Contents

	Abstract	ii
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Discussion of Carbon Mass Balance	1
III.	Instrumentation	2
IV.	Technical Approach	3
V.	Discussion	3
VI.	Conclusions	6
Appendix 1	Computer Printouts of Data and Calculations	
Appendix 2	Raw Data Sheets	
Appendix 3	Table I - Diesel PFs Table II - Gasoline PFs Table III - Carbon Monoxide Emissions Table IV - Smoke Emissions	
Appendix 4	Weather Data	
Appendix 5	Figure 1. Carbon Balance Equations Figure 2. Sample Calculation	
Appendix 6	Dr. Geoffrey J. Germane's letter to Southwest Research Institute	

Abstract

This paper discusses the results of a field test conducted by Provo City fleet management to determine the economic and environmental benefits from fuel treatment with a unique combustion catalyst called FPC-1. The study conducted on a large fleet of both diesel and gasoline powered vehicles documented the following:

- (1) The addition of FPC-1 to the diesel fleet created a 7.8% reduction in fuel consumption.
- (2) The addition of FPC-1 to the gasoline fleet created an 8.3% reduction in fuel consumption.
- (3) FPC-1 treated fuel combusted more completely. Carbon monoxide emissions were reduced 14.2% on a fleet average basis. CO changes generally took place in the high emissions vehicles, and were more profound in the gasoline engines than the diesel engines.
- (4) Smoke density was reduced 18.4% after FPC-1 fuel treatment.

These results verify substantial operational cost savings and environmental benefit can be derived from FPC-1 use throughout the entire Provo City fleet operation.

The paper also discusses a unique, recognized test method for determining the benefits of FPC-1 in the field. The method is known as the carbon mass balance, which is central to the EPA standardized Federal Test Procedures and Highway Fuel Economy Test. The method uses exhaust gas analysis under steady-state engine operation to determine both fuel consumption and exhaust emissions. A detailed discussion of the carbon mass balance is found in sections II., III., and IV of the report.

I. Introduction

FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst is a burn rate modifier or catalyst, proven to reduce fuel consumption and increase engine horsepower in several recognized, independent laboratory tests, and dozens of independent field trials. The catalyst also has a positive impact upon the products of incomplete combustion (smoke and carbon monoxide).

The intent of the current trial at Provo City is to determine the degree of fuel consumption, and emissions reduction resulting from the addition of the FPC-1 catalyst to the # 2 diesel and gasoline fueling a select fleet of compression and spark-ignition engine powered trucks and police cars. The test methodology for determining fuel consumption is the carbon mass balance (cmb). The cmb method measures the carbon containing products of the combustion process (CO₂, CO, HC) found in the exhaust, rather than directly measuring fuel flow into the engine. Also, while conducting the cmb procedure, a Bacharach Smoke Spot method is used to determine smoke density in the exhaust of the diesel powered equipment.

This report summarizes the results of baseline and FPC-1 treated fuel consumption and emissions data, and computes and compares the mass flow rates (engine performance factors or PFs) for the same.

II. Discussion of Carbon Mass Balance Method

The carbon mass balance eliminates virtually all of the variables associated with field testing for fuel consumption changes. The method requires no modifications to fuel lines or engines, and can be conducted in a short period of time at minimal expense.

Instead of measuring fuel flow into the engine (ie., the weight or volume of the fuel), measurements are made of the exhaust gases leaving the engine. More precisely, the carbon containing gases in the exhaust are measured. The method is based upon the Law of Conservation of Matter, which states that atoms can neither be created nor destroyed. Since the engines only source of carbon is the fuel it consumes, the carbon measured in the exhaust must come from the fuel. By measuring the carbon going out of the engine in the form of products of combustion, the amount of carbon entering the engine can be determined.

Carbon Balance Calculation

The carbon leaving the engine is mainly as carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbons (HC), and particulate (smoke). By collecting this data while the engine is operating at a given load and speed, the fuel flow rate into the engine can be accurately determined. When engine load and speed, along with other factors influencing fuel consumption are reproduced and/or monitored to make appropriate corrections, the carbon balance can be used to confidently determine changes in fuel consumption that might result from the use of a fuel catalyst, such as FPC-1.

With the carbon balance, engine efficiency is expressed in terms of engine performance factors. To calculate any change in engine performance, separate measurements are made with the engine running on base fuel (untreated) and FPC-1 treated fuel. Any changes are stated as percentage changes from the baseline.

A copy of the carbon balance equations is found on Figure 1 (Appendix 5). A sample calculation for illustration purposes is also attached (see Figure 2, Appendix 5). Additionally, the carbon balance can be used to determine the effect of FPC-1 upon harmful emissions, such as carbon monoxide and smoke.

III. Instrumentation

Precision, state-of-the-art instrumentation is used to measure the concentrations of carbon containing gases in the exhaust stream and other factors related to fuel consumption and engine performance. The instruments and their purposes are listed below:

1) A Sun Electric SGA-9000 non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) four gas analyzer - measures the volume percent of CO₂, CO, and oxygen (O₂) in the exhaust, and the parts per million (ppm) of HC.

2) EPA I/M Calibration Gases - known gases used internally to calibrate the NDIR analyzer.

3) A twenty (20) foot sampling train and stainless steel exhaust gas probe - inserted into the engine exhaust pipe draws a sample of exhaust gases to the analyzer.

4) A Fluke Model 52 hand held digital thermometer and wet/dry thermocouple probe - measures exhaust, ambient, and fuel temperature.

5) A Dwyer Magnehelic 2000 Series Pressure Gauge and pitot tube - measures exhaust air velocity and/or pressure.

6) A Monarch Contact/Noncontact digital tachometer and magnetic tape - measures engine rpm when dash mounted tachometers are unavailable.

7) A hydrometer and flask - determines fuel specific gravity (density).

8) Barometric pressure is acquired from local airport or weather station.

9) A Bacharach Truespot Smokemeter - for smoke density determination.

Except for engine speed, fuel density, and ambient readings, all data are collected by simply inserting probes into the exhaust stream while the engine is running at a fixed rpm and load, and

the vehicle is stationary. No modifications or device installations are made to the fuel system, nor are normal equipment work cycles disrupted.

IV. Technical Approach

The following technical approach was observed during both test segments:

- 1) All instruments are calibrated according to accepted protocol.
- 2) A sample of fuel is drawn from the fuel tank on each piece of equipment. Using a hydrometer and wet/dry temperature probe, fuel specific gravity and temperature are recorded.
- 3) Each piece of equipment to be tested is parked, brakes locked, and run out-of-gear at a specific engine speed (RPM) until engine water, oil, and exhaust temperature, and exhaust pressure have stabilized. Engine speed is controlled using either a hand held phototach or the tachometer in the cab, and a Snap-On throttle lock.
- 4) Engine hours (or mileage) are taken from hour meters or odometers installed on the equipment.
- 5) After engine stabilization, the exhaust gas sampling probe is inserted into the exhaust stream. The Autocal button is depressed and after the LED readouts clear, test personnel take multiple readings of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbons, and oxygen, along with engine speed, exhaust temperature and pressure. Smoke readings are taken on the diesel engines after exhaust gas testing.
- 6) Periodically, ambient air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and relative humidity are recorded. Temperature readings are taken at the test site. Other ambient readings are acquired from local weather information services.
- 7) All data are recorded until technicians are confident the information is consistent and reproducible.
- 8) After completing the baseline, the test fleet fuel was *treated with FPC-1. All equipment operated as normal for approximately 400 to 500 hours, at which time the above procedure was reproduced without alteration, except FPC-1 fuel treatment in the test fleet.

*The first treatment of FPC-1 will be done by UHI/Provo City personnel after the baseline test. Treatment of additional fuel delivered to the site during the test will be carried out by Provo City.

V. Discussion

The data collected during the tests are summarized on the attached computer printouts (Appendix

1). From these data the volume fraction (VF) of each gas is determined and the average molecular weight (Mwt) of the exhaust gases computed. Next, the engine performance factor (pf) based upon the carbon mass in the exhaust is computed. The pf is finally corrected for intake air temperature and pressure (barometric), and total exhaust mass yielding a corrected engine performance factor (PF). The PFs for the diesel engines are tabulated on Table 1 of Appendix 3, while the PFs for the gasoline powered vehicles are found on Table 2. The carbon monoxide percentages on tabulated on Table 3 of Appendix 3. The smoke spot (smoke density) numbers for the diesel engines are found on Table 4 of Appendix 3.

Statistical Anomalies and Fleet Exclusions

Two diesel units that were baseline tested are not included in this report. Unit #732 was installed with a new engine since the baseline. Unit #733 was under repair, and not available for testing.

The diesel fleet contained only one anomaly. Unit #812 showed an 8.72% increase in fuel consumption. Also, this same unit was the only engine to have an increase in engine smoke. All of the remaining seven units experienced fuel consumption reductions, and either no change or decreases in engine smoking. Unit #812 was the only engine that would not hold constant rpm during the FPC-1 treated fuel test segment. It was necessary to constantly throttle back up after a rpm fall off, making it difficult to collect reliable data. This behavior likely led to the increase in fuel consumption and smoke emissions. Further, Unit #812 is a statistical anomaly. For both reasons, UHI engineers have removed #812 from consideration.

The gasoline fleet contained three anomalies. The Ford Explorer (#379) saw consistent baseline CO₂ emissions data, but the CO₂ data were erratic during the treated fuel test. These erratic data make it difficult to detect actual changes in a fuel flow rate, and are likely responsible, at least in part, for the abnormally large reduction in fuel consumption observed in this vehicle.

Unit #319 saw a dramatic reduction in exhaust gas velocity (approximately 67%). No other vehicle experienced such a large change. Typically, exhaust gas velocity is only slightly affected by the changes in combustion created by FPC-1 or by changes in the environment. The radical reduction in fuel consumption observed in this engine must be caused by other factors. The result is also a statistical anomaly and therefore, has been removed from consideration.

Unit #331 also experienced a large reduction in fuel consumption, greater than observed in previous laboratory and field tests. There appears to be no explanation for this. The data are fairly consistent. Rpm was reproduced, as were other engine conditions. Although, no explanation can be given here why #331 saw such a large reduction in fuel consumption, UHI recommends this unit be removed from the test sample and not be included in any conclusions about fuel consumption.

A few individual data points have been removed from the calculation of individual PFs based upon statistical reliability and aberrations in the procedure, such as the loose hose clamp

discovered on Unit #342. These exclusions are noted on the raw data sheets found in the Appendix 2.

With the anomalies removed, the diesel fleet averaged a 7.8% reduction in fuel consumption after FPC-1 fuel treatment. Similarly, the gasoline fleet saw an 8.3% reduction in fuel consumption with FPC-1 treated fuel. The results for each unit tested are tabled on Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix 3.

Note: Fuel samples could not be extracted from the fuel tanks of the gasoline fleet, therefore, the fuel consumption change is not corrected for fuel density.

The Effect of Environmental Conditions

The diesel fleet baseline and treated fuel test segments were run under identical environmental conditions, as were the baseline and treated fuel tests on the first four gasoline powered vehicles tested on August 29th. Therefore, engine performance and exhaust emissions were not influenced by the intake air temperature and pressure (barometric).

Ambient conditions changed slightly for the treated test done on the police cars on September 1st. Although barometric pressure was virtually identical, the day was cloudy and cooler. This led to a reduction in exhaust temperature not caused by changes in combustion, since exhaust gas velocity was unaffected. The cooler, cloudy day prevented to heating up of the rubber hose used to conduct the exhaust gases to the test instrument probes. Therefore, there was more heat transfer from the exhaust gases into the hose material, and the surrounding environment, creating lower exhaust gas temperatures.

Because of this environment related temperature change, and because of the nearly identical baseline and treated temperatures observed while testing the four police cars on August 29th, it was felt by UHI that the baseline exhaust temperatures would be closer to the treated temperatures had all things been equaled. For this reason, UHI determined to use the baseline exhaust gas temperatures in the treated fuel test calculations.

The calculations for each individual unit in the test fleet are found in Appendix 1.

The Effect of FPC-1 on Carbon Monoxide and Smoke Emissions

The addition of FPC-1 to both diesel and gasoline fuel had a positive impact upon carbon monoxide (CO) and smoke emissions. Overall, the CO was reduced in the test units having the highest baseline CO levels, especially for the gasoline fleet. This is consistent with other tests conducted by UHI. Engines performing at a level of high efficiency leave little room for improvement from a CO emissions standpoint. The letter found in Appendix 6 is from Dr. Geoffrey J. Germane, Ph.D. Mechanical Engineering, BYU, to Mr. Vernon Markworth, Principal Engineer for Southwest Research Institute, dated August 1992, explains this phenomenon in more

detail. The fact that FPC-1 has a more profound effect upon engines that produce higher emissions levels means the product will aid in keeping vehicles that are trending above the emission standards in line with the designed performance level of the engine.

The CO reduction averaged 14.2% for the entire fleet, with the gasoline fleet averaging a 30%, and the diesel fleet a 6.7% reduction.

Smoke density emissions were reduced in virtually all diesel engines treated with FPC-1. Smoke reductions were slightly greater than those of CO, averaging 18.4%.

Environmental conditions in terms of intake air pressure and temperature were virtually identical for all tests, and well within those of laboratory standards. These conditions would have little or no effect upon the combustion process, and therefore, the levels of emissions.

The weather data for the treated fuel tests are included in Appendix 4. The weather data for the baseline tests was acquired from the Weather Services at the Provo Airport, and are included on the Excel Pro database sheets in Appendix 1.

VI. Conclusions

- (1) The addition of FPC-1 to the diesel fleet created a 7.8% reduction in fuel consumption.
- (2) The addition of FPC-1 to the gasoline fleet created an 8.3% reduction in fuel consumption.
- (3) FPC-1 treated fuel combusted more completely. Carbon monoxide emissions were reduced 14.2% on a fleet average basis. CO changes generally took place in the high emissions vehicles, and were more profound in the gasoline engines than the diesel engines.
- (4) Smoke density was reduced 18.4% after FPC-1 fuel treatment.

APPENDIX 1

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs** 2503
Equipment Type: Garbage Truck **ID #:** 814 **Baro** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .824 **Temp:**
Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	435	1.4	0.01	10	2.97	15.9	
2200	438	1.4	0.01	10	3.03	15.7	
2200	442.4	1.4	0.01	10	3.05	15.9	
2200	443	1.4	0.01	10	3.04	15.8	
2200	443.6	1.4	0.01	10	3.05	15.9	
2200	444.6	1.4	0.01	10	3.05	15.8	
2200	447.2	1.4	0.01	10	3.06	15.8	
2200	446.2	1.4	0.01	10	3.07	15.8	
2200.000	442.500	1.400	.010	10.000	3.040	15.825	Mean
0	4.109	.000	.000	.000	.031	.071	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 1.00E-05 0.0001 .030 .158 29.120 212,610 356,369

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs:** 2864
Equipment Type Garbage Truck **ID #:** 814 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .824 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:** 4:15

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	423	1.4	0.01	8	2.79	15.3	
2200	428.2	1.4	0.01	9	2.78	15.2	
2200	427	1.3	0.02	9	2.82	15.4	
2200	431.6	1.35	0.01	6	2.81	15.4	
2200	434	1.35	0.01	8	2.81	15.5	
2200	434.6	1.35	0.01	8	2.81	15.4	
2200	434.6	1.35	0.02	9	2.85	15.4	
2200	435.8	1.35	0.02	9	2.8	15.4	
2200.000	431.100	1.356	.014	8.250	2.809	15.375	Mean
0	4.568	.032	.005	1.035	.021	.089	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 8.25E-06 0.0001375 .028 .154 29.065 229,364 388,000

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

388,000

****% Change PF= 8.88 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs:** 2599
Equipment Type: Garbage Truck **ID #:** 812 **Baro:** 30.03
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .821 **Temp:** **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	397	1	0.02	23	2.16	17.1	
2200	397.2	1	0.02	23	2.17	17.1	
2200	397.4	1	0.02	23	2.2	17.1	
2200	400.6	1	0.02	23	2.15	17.2	
2200	400.2	1	0.02	21	2.15	17.2	
2200	401.2	1	0.02	23	2.15	17.2	
2200	401.2	1	0.02	22	2.15	17.1	
2200	401.4	1	0.02	23	2.17	17.1	
2200.000	399.525	1.000	.020	22.625	2.163	17.138	Mean
0	1.965	.000	.000	.744	.018	.052	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 2.26E-05 0.0002 .022 .171 29.033 294,892 570,376

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs:** 2990
Equipment Type: Garbage Truck **ID #:** 812 **Baro:** 30.08
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .822 **Temp:** **Time:**
SG Corr Factor: .999

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	401.4	1.2	0.02	17	2.26	16.2	
2200	404.2	1.2	0.02	17	2.22	16.2	
2200	412.2	1.1	0.02	15	2.25	16.2	
2200	408.2	1.2	0.02	15	2.25	16.2	
2200	409.4	1.2	0.02	14	2.28	16.4	
2200	411.8	1.1	0.02	14	2.33	16.2	
2200	406.8	1.1	0.02	14	2.14	16.2	
2200	413.4	1.2	0.02	14	2.3	16.1	
2000	397.8	0.9	0.02	12	2.12	16.4	
2177.778	407.244	1.133	.020	14.667	2.239	16.233	Mean
66.66666667	5.263	.100	.000	1.581	.070	.100	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.47E-05 0.0002 .022 .162 29.008 285,384 521,256

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

520,621

****% Change PF = -8.72 %**

*** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.*

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs:** 3632
Equipment Type: Garbage Truck **ID #:** 810 **Baro:** 30.09
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG): .816 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	390	1	0.02	22	2.2	17.1	
2200	395	1	0.02	24	2.19	17	
2200	400	1	0.02	22	2.19	17	
2200	401	1	0.02	23	2.22	17.1	
2200	405	1	0.02	24	2.22	17	
2200	406	1	0.02	22	2.22	17	
2200	401	1	0.02	23	2.25	17.1	
2200	400	1	0.02	22	2.23	17	
2200	399.750	1.000	.020	22.750	2.215	17.038	Mean
0	5.175	.000	.000	.886	.021	.052	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 2.28E-05 0.0002 .022 .170 29.037 288,043 557,758

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: L-10 Cummins **Mile/Hrs:**
Equipment Type: Garbage Truck **ID #:** 810 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .825 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: .989 **Time:** 3:35

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	388	0.9	0.02	24	2.15	16.3	
2200	390.2	0.95	0.02	24	2.15	16.3	
2200	392.4	0.9	0.03	24	2.13	16.6	
2200	400.4	0.9	0.02	25	2.09	16.5	
2200	391.4	0.95	0.02	24	2.1	16.6	
2200	398.6	0.95	0.02	24	2.05	16.6	
2200	400	0.95	0.02	24	2.04	16.6	
2200	390.8	0.95	0.02	26	2.04	16.6	
2200.000	393.975	.931	.021	24.375	2.094	16.513	Mean
0	4.901	.026	.004	.744	.047	.136	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 2.44E-05 0.0002125 .021 .165 28.997 303,703 606,949

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

600,255

****% Change PF = 7.62 %**

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208T **Mile/Hrs** 144204
Equipment Type: Dump Truck **ID #:** 731 **Baro** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .833 **Temp:**
Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1850	273.4	0.8	0.04	21	1.54	18	
1850	274	0.8	0.04	23	1.52	18	
1850	279.4	0.8	0.04	21	1.52	18.1	
1850	280	0.8	0.04	21	1.53	18.1	
1850	282.2	0.8	0.04	22	1.52	18.2	
1850	282.6	0.8	0.04	22	1.52	18.1	
1850	283	0.8	0.04	23	1.54	18.2	
1850	283	0.8	0.04	23	1.53	18.1	
1850.000	279.700	.800	.040	22.000	1.528	18.100	Mean
0	3.942	.000	.000	.926	.009	.076	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 2.20E-05 0.0004 .015 .181 28.970 408,744 820,525

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208T **Mile/Hrs:** 145493
Equipment Type Dump Truck **ID #:** 731 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .824 **Temp:** 87
SG Corr Factor: 1.011 **Time:** 4

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
1850	281.9	0.8	0.04	17	1.51	17.5	
1850	286.8	0.7	0.04	17	1.51	17.5	
1850	292.2	0.75	0.04	17	1.51	17.8	
1850	295.8	0.75	0.03	18	1.54	17.7	
1850	290.4	0.75	0.04	15	1.55	17.7	
1850	299.8	0.75	0.03	17	1.54	17.7	
1850	301	0.75	0.04	21	1.54	17.7	
1850	301.8	0.75	0.03	17	1.53	17.6	
1850.000	293.713	.750	.036	17.375	1.529	17.650	Mean
0	7.176	.027	.005	1.685	.016	.107	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.74E-05 0.0003625 .015 .177 28.952 409,905 857,568

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

866,834

****% Change PF = 5.64 %**

*** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.*

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208T **Mile/Hrs:** 42717
Equipment Type: Dump Truck **ID #:** 730 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG): .827 **Temp:** **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	335	1.4	0.04	38	1.75	17.8	
2200	336	1.5	0.04	38	1.75	17.8	
2200	336.8	1.5	0.04	38	1.75	17.8	
2200	338	1.5	0.04	34	1.75	17.8	
2200	338.2	1.5	0.04	35	1.75	17.7	
2200	339.2	1.5	0.04	35	1.75	17.7	
2200	339.4	1.5	0.04	35	1.75	17.8	
2200	339.8	1.5	0.04	35	1.75	17.7	
2200.000	337.800	1.488	.040	36.000	1.750	17.763	Mean
0	1.724	.035	.000	1.690	.000	.052	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 3.60E-05 0.0004 .018 .178 28.993 356,842 545,391

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208T **Mile/Hrs:** 44648
Equipment Type: Dump Truck **ID #:** 730 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .825 **Temp:** **Time:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.002

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2200	340.2	1.35	0.04	18	1.73	17.3	
2200	341.6	1.35	0.04	17	1.72	17.3	
2200	343	1.35	0.04	18	1.74	17.3	
2200	344.8	1.35	0.04	18	1.74	17.3	
2200	347.2	1.35	0.04	18	1.75	17.2	
2200	348.8	1.35	0.04	18	1.75	17.1	
2200	348.2	1.35	0.04	19	1.71	17.3	
2200	348.4	1.35	0.04	18	1.71	17.2	
2200.000	345.275	1.350	.040	18.000	1.731	17.250	Mean
0	3.362	.000	.000	.535	.016	.076	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.80E-05 0.0004 .017 .173 28.968 362,597 584,443

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

585,857

****% Change PF = 7.42 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208 **Mile/Hrs** 45895
Equipment Type: Dump Truck **ID #:** 153 **Baro** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .832 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	276	0.8	0.06	21	1.4	18.3	
2000	276	0.8	0.06	21	1.42	18.3	
2000	277.8	0.8	0.06	20	1.42	18.3	
2000	278.2	0.8	0.06	19	1.4	18.3	
2000	279.4	0.8	0.06	21	1.41	18.3	
2000	279.6	0.8	0.06	21	1.41	18.3	
2000	280.4	0.8	0.06	22	1.4	18.3	
2000	281	0.8	0.06	22	1.4	18.3	
2000.000	278.550	.800	.060	20.875	1.408	18.300	Mean
0	1.888	.000	.000	.991	.009	.000	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 2.09E-05 0.0006 .014 .183 28.958 436,375 875,311

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam.** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208 **Mile/Hrs:** 46403
Equipment Type Dump Truck **ID #:** 153 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .834 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: .998 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	281	0.75	0.06	20	1.38	17.8	
2000	283.6	0.75	0.06	19	1.38	17.9	
2000	285.6	0.7	0.06	17	1.39	17.7	
2000	285.8	0.7	0.06	17	1.38	17.8	
2000	290.4	0.7	0.06	19	1.4	17.5	
2000	291.6	0.7	0.06	17	1.4	17.8	
2000	292.2	0.7	0.06	17	1.4	17.6	
2000	293.8	0.7	0.06	18	1.4	17.8	
2000.000	288.000	.713	.060	18.000	1.391	17.738	Mean
0	4.613	.023	.000	1.195	.010	.130	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.80E-05 0.0006 .014 .177 28.933 441,386 943,823

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

941,554

****% Change PF = 7.57 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208 **Mile/Hrs:** 65758
Equipment Type: Boom Truck **ID #:** 33 **Baro:** 30.03
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .830 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	374.6	2	0.05	27	2.02	17.3	
2000	380	2	0.05	30	2.01	17.3	
2000	380.2	2	0.05	30	2.01	17.3	
2000	381.6	2	0.05	31	2.01	17.2	
2000	381.4	2	0.05	31	2.02	17.2	
2000	382.6	2	0.05	31	2.01	17.2	
2000	383.2	2	0.05	31	2.01	17.1	
2000	384.2	2	0.05	31	2.02	17.1	
2000.000	380.975	2.000	.050	30.250	2.014	17.213	Mean
0	2.946	.000	.000	1.389	.005	.083	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 3.03E-05 0.0005 .020 .172 29.012 310,793 420,452

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: CAT 3208 **Mile/Hrs:** 66783
Equipment Type: Boom Truck **ID #:** 33 **Baro:** 30.05
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .826 **Temp:** 87
SG Corr Factor: 1.005 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	427	1.9	0.04	14	1.95	16.9	
2000	420.4	1.9	0.04	14	2.11	16.6	
2000	420.4	1.9	0.04	17	1.98	16.9	
2000	419.2	1.9	0.04	17	1.97	16.8	
2000	421.9	1.9	0.04	17	2	16.8	
2000	423.8	1.9	0.04	17	2.12	16.5	
2000	417.2	1.89	0.04	17	1.97	16.9	
2000	410.6	1.89	0.04	17	1.97	16.7	
2000.000	420.063	1.898	.040	16.250	2.009	16.763	Mean
0	4.843	.005	.000	1.389	.067	.151	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.63E-05 0.0004 .020 .168 28.993 314,198 446,563

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

448,715

****% Change PF = 6.72 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/12/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 4 Inches
Engine Type: Int'l 466 DT **Mile/Hrs** 5681
Equipment Type: Boom Truck **ID #:** 18 **Baro** 30.03
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) .826 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	428.2	0.6	0.05	24	3.31	15.3	
2000	428.2	0.8	0.05	24	3.31	15.3	
2000	432.2	0.8	0.05	24	3.3	15.3	
2000	433.4	0.8	0.05	24	3.31	15.3	
2000	433.4	0.8	0.05	24	3.31	15.3	
2000	435.4	0.8	0.05	24	3.3	15.3	
2000	435	0.8	0.05	24	3.3	15.3	
2000	436.2	0.8	0.05	25	3.3	15.3	
2000.000	432.750	.775	.050	24.125	3.305	15.300	Mean
0	3.083	.071	.000	.354	.005	.000	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
2.41E-05 0.0005 .033 .153 29.142 192,946 432,036

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/28/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 4 Inches
Engine Type: Int'l 466 DT **Mile/Hrs:** 5843
Equipment Type Boom Truck **ID #:** 18 **Baro:** 30.03
Fuel Sp. Gravity: .825 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.001 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2000	422	0.75	0.04	17	3.06	14.8	
2000	425.6	0.75	0.04	17	3.07	14.8	
2000	429.6	0.7	0.04	17	3.1	15	
2000	431.6	0.7	0.04	17	3.1	14.9	
2000	433.8	0.7	0.04	17	3.1	15	
2000	434.4	0.75	0.04	16	3.07	15	
2000	436.4	0.75	0.04	17	3.07	15	
2000	437.2	0.75	0.04	14	3.09	14.9	
2000.000	431.325	.731	.040	16.500	3.083	14.925	Mean
0	5.327	.026	.000	1.069	.017	.089	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
1.65E-05 0.0004 .031 .149 29.091 207,202 477,252

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

477,830

****% Change PF = 10.60 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs** 69620
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 320 **Baro** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:** **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3900	158.2	0.02	0.03	19		7.2	
	163.2	0.02	0.02	19		7.2	
	169.2	0.02	0.02	19	8.01	7.2	
3850	171.2	0.02	0.03	19	8	7.2	
	174.4	0.02	0.02	18	8	7.2	
	176.8	0.02	0.03	19	7.99	7.2	
3925	179.2	0.02	0.02	19	8	7.2	
	180.2	0.02	0.02	19	7.95	7.2	
	182	0.02	0.02	15	7.94	7.2	
3891.667	172.711	.020	.023	18.444	7.984	7.200	Mean
38.18813079	8.060	.000	.005	1.333	.028	.000	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 1.84E-05 0.000233333 .080 .072 29.567 82,274 2,466,946

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/29/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 70785
Equipment Type Police Car **ID #:** 320 **Baro:** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:** **Time:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3860	125.8	0.02	0.01	23	6.96	9	
	126.2	0.02	0.01	19	6.91	9	
3930	126.6	0.02	0.01	25	6.96	8.7	
	127.1	0.02	0.01	21	7.08	8.6	
3965	128.6	0.02	0.01	22	7.14	8.6	
3980	129	0.02	0.01	22	7.12	8.5	
	129	0.02	0.01	18	7.05	8.6	
3980	130.2	0.02	0.01	19	7.14	8.4	
3943.000	127.813	.020	.010	21.125	7.045	8.675	Mean
50.69516742	1.593	.000	.000	2.357	.091	.219	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 2.11E-05 0.0001 .070 .087 29.475 93,054 2,696,101

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

2,696,101

****% Change PF = 9.29 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: Ford Explorer **Mile/Hrs:** 43973
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 379 **Baro:** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2500	381.8	0.06	0.03	9	13.64	1.6	
2500	388.4	0.06	0.03	9	13.62	1.7	
2500	400.2	0.06	0.03	8	13.55	2	
2500	406.2	0.06	0.03	8	13.51	2	
2500	407.8	0.06	0.03	8	13.46	2.1	
2500	409.6	0.08	0.02	7	13.4	2.1	
2500	412.6	0.08	0.03	7	13.37	2.1	
2500	415.8	0.08	0.03	6	13.37	2.1	
2500	419.2	0.08	0.03	6	13.39	2.1	
2500	419.4	0.08	0.03	7	13.37	2.1	
2500.000	406.100	.070	.029	7.500	13.468	1.990	Mean
0	12.643	.011	.003	1.080	.106	.185	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 7.50E-06 0.00029 .135 .020 30.235 49,971 937,059

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/29/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: Ford Explorer **Mile/Hrs:** 46269
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 379 **Baro:** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
2500	377	0.06	0.03	4	11.61	4	
2500	380	0.06	0.02	4	11.52	3	
2500	384.6	0.06	0.03	3	12.24	2	
2500	387.8	0.06	0.03	4	12.26	1	
2500	390.8	0.06	0.02	4	11.51	4	
2500	393.2	0.06	0.02	3	11.51	3	
2500	396.2	0.06	0.01	8	12.2	2	
2500	398	0.06	0.01	6	12.22	2	
2500.000	388.450	.060	.021	4.500	11.884	2.625	Mean
0	7.532	.000	.008	1.690	.372	1.061	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 4.50E-06 0.0002125 .119 .026 30.007 56,232 1,130,113

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density: 1,130,113

****% Change PF = 20.60 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs** 59197
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 331 **Baro** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3600	160.8	0.02	0.02	13	7.06	8.9	
	163.8	0.02	0.03	14	7.08	8.9	
	166.2	0.02	0.03	17	7.08	8.8	
3590	167.8	0.02	0.03	14	7.07	8.8	
	168.8	0.02	0.03	10		8.7	
	170.8	0.02	0.04	17	7.08	8.9	
3600	173.6	0.02	0.03	17	7.12	8.8	
	175.6	0.02	0.03	17	7.08	8.7	
3640	177.8	0.02	0.04	14	7.12	8.8	
3607.500	169.467	.020	.031	14.778	7.086	8.811	Mean
22.17355783	5.557	.000	.006	2.438	.022	.078	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 1.48E-05 0.000311111 .071 .088 29.487 92,329 2,761,355

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 8/29/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam.** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 60367
Equipment Type Police Car **ID #:** 331 **Baro:** 30.07
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:** 87
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3620	165.4	0.03	0.01	17	5.72	9.5	
	160.2	0.02	0.01	17	5.72	9.5	
3660	157.8	0.02	0.01	19		9.9	
	153.2	0.02	0.01	19	5.82	9.8	
3680	150.2	0.02	0.01	15	5.8	9.7	
3710	148.8	0.02	0.01	22	5.86	9.6	
	146	0.02	0.01	17	5.77	10	
3655	145.4	0.02	0.01	16	5.77	9.8	
3665.000	153.375	.021	.010	17.750	5.780	9.725	Mean
33.1662479	7.169	.004	.000	2.188	.051	.183	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.78E-05 0.0001 .058 .097 29.315 112,761 3,237,734

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

3,237,734

****% Change PF = 17.25 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5. L Ford **Mile/Hrs** 58444
Equipment Type: Crown Victoria 1991 **ID #:** 319 **Baro** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
4500	173.2	0.06	0.01	15	7.17	11.2	
	172.6	0.05	0.01	14	7.17	11.2	
	172.3	0.06	0.01	15	7.17	11.2	
4400	173	0.06	0.01	15	7.15	11.2	
	173.4	0.06	0.01	15	7.15	11.2	
	173.2	0.06	0.01	14	7.12	11.1	
4400	172.6	0.06	0.01	14	7.16	11.2	
	172.4	0.06	0.01	14	7.23	11.1	
4400	172.4	0.06	0.01	10	7.24	11.1	
4425.000	172.789	.059	.010	14.000	7.173	11.167	Mean
50	.414	.003	.000	1.581	.038	.050	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 1.40E-05 0.0001 .072 .112 29.595 91,824 1,604,654

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 9/1/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5. L Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 61304
Equipment Type: Crown Victoria 1991 **ID #:** 319 **Baro:** 30.04
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
4450	173.2	0.02	0.01	15	6.36	10.5	
	172.6	0.02	0.01	15	6.34	10.5	
4500	172.3	0.02	0.01	15	6.31	11	
	173	0.02	0.01	12	6.4	10.9	
4530	173.4	0.02	0.01	17	6.42	10.8	
	173.2	0.02	0.01	17	6.42	10.8	
4530	172.6	0.02	0.01	15	6.42	10.9	
	172.4	0.02	0.01	15	6.42	10.8	
	172.4						
4502.500	172.789	.020	.010	15.125	6.386	10.775	Mean
37.74917218	.414	.000	.000	1.553	.044	.183	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.51E-05 0.0001 .064 .108 29.454 102,603 3,082,871

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

3,082,871

****% Change PF= 92.12 %**

*** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.*

Company Name: Provo City Location: Provo, Utah Date: 6/13/95
 Test Portion: Baseline Stack Diam. 2.5 Inches
 Engine Type: 5.0 Ford Mile/Hrs 74276
 Equipment Type: Police Car ID #: 363 Baro 29.92
 Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 Temp:

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3890	118.4	0.02	0.01	24	7.26	8.6	
3940	128.6	0.02	0.01	14	7.3	8.4	
	133.8	0.02	0.01	15	7.25	8.4	
	137.8	0.02	0.01	14	7.23	8.3	
3900	142.2	0.02	0.01	15	7.25	8.2	
	147	0.02	0.01	17	7.2	8.2	
	150.4	0.02	0.01	15	7.23	8.1	
3930	154	0.02	0.01	15	7.14	8.2	
3915.000	139.025	.020	.010	16.125	7.233	8.300	Mean
23.80476143	11.894	.000	.000	3.314	.047	.160	Std Dev

VFHC VFCE VFCE2 VFO2 Mtw1 pf1 PF1
 1.61E-05 0.0001 .072 .083 29.490 90,735 2,647,231

Company Name: Provo City Location: Provo, Utah Test Date: 9/1/95
 Test Portion: Treated Stack Diam. 2.5 Inches
 Engine Type: 5.0 Ford Mile/Hrs 74276
 Equipment Type: Police Car ID #: 363 Baro: 30.08
 Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 Temp:
 SG Corr Factor: 1.000 Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3820	118.4	0.02	0.01	39	6.71	10.4	
	128.6	0.02	0.01	35	6.71	10.4	
3830	133.8	0.02	0.01	35	6.67	10.6	
	137.8	0.02	0.01	35	6.69	10.5	
3850	142.2	0.02	0.01	32	6.62	10.6	
	147	0.02	0.01	32	6.6	10.5	
3860	150.4	0.02	0.01	33	6.62	10.7	
	154	0.02		28	6.62	10.5	
3840.000	139.025	.020	.010	33.625	6.655	10.525	Mean
18.25741858	11.894	.000	.000	3.204	.045	.104	Std Dev

VFHC VFCE VFCE2 VFO2 Mtw2 pf2 PF2
 3.36E-05 0.0001 .067 .105 29.488 98,411 2,878,860

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

2,878,860

****% Change PF= 8.75 %**

** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 74794
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 361 **Baro:** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3950	153	0.02	0.01	9	7.4	8.4	
	157	0.02	0.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	162.2	0.02	0.01	9	7.4	8.1	
3960	165.1	0.02	0.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	169.4	0.02	0.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	169.9	0.02	0.01	7	7.33	8.3	
3960	175.8	0.02	0.01	9	7.35	8.3	
	178.8	0.02	0.01	9	7.34	8.2	
3960	180.2	0.02	0.01	10	7.35	8.1	
3957.500	167.933	.020	.010	8.889	7.361	8.222	Mean
5	9.486	.000	.000	.782	.024	.097	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 8.89E-06 0.0001 .074 .082 29.507 89,261 2,666,345

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 9/1/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 77248
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 361 **Baro:** 30.08
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3960	153	0.02	0.01	17	7.12	9.9	
	157	0.02	0.01	15	7.08	9.9	
3900	162.2	0.02	0.01	15	7.32	9.6	
	165.1	0.02	0.01	13	7.33	9.6	
	169.4	0.02	0.01	14	7.25	9.7	
	169.9	0.02	0.01	14	7.32	9.5	
3930	175.8	0.02	0.01	13	7.25	9.5	
	178.8	0.02	0.01	14	7.22	9.5	
	180.2						
3930.000	167.933	.020	.010	14.375	7.236	9.650	Mean
30	9.486	.000	.000	1.302	.094	.169	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.44E-05 0.0001 .072 .097 29.545 90,869 2,721,627

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

2,721,627

****% Change PF = 2.07 %**

*** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.*

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 70601
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 344 **Baro:** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:** **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
4100	133.4	0.02	0.01	18	7.09	8.9	
	140	0.02	0.01	15	7.08	8.7	
	147	0.02	0.01	14	6.97	8.6	
4390	156.6	0.02	0.01	12	6.98	8.5	
	160.6	0.02	0.01	10	6.98	8.5	
	165.5	0.02	0.01	10	6.99	8.5	
4450	165.4	0.02	0.01	10	6.98	8.5	
	169	0.02	0.01	10	6.99	8.5	
	171.4	0.02	0.01	10	6.98	8.5	
4313.333	156.544	.020	.010	12.111	7.004	8.578	Mean
187.1719352	13.469	.000	.000	2.934	.046	.139	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 1.21E-05 0.0001 .070 .086 29.465 93,633 2,771,458

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 9/1/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 73772
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 344 **Baro:** 30.06
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:** **Time:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
4320	133.4	0.02	0.01	18	6.7	10.3	
	140	0.02	0.01	15	6.6	10.5	
	147	0.02	0.01	15	6.6	10.5	
4460	156.6	0.02	0.01	10	6.62	10.3	
	160.6	0.02	0.01	10	6.69	10.4	
	165.5	0.02	0.01	10	6.69	10.2	
4160	165.4	0.02	0.01	13	6.71	10.2	
	169	0.02	0.01	12	6.75	10.1	
	171.4						
4313.333	156.544	.020	.010	12.875	6.670	10.313	Mean
150.11107	13.469	.000	.000	2.949	.056	.146	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.29E-05 0.0001 .067 .103 29.480 98,361 2,918,217

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

2,918,217

****% Change PF = 5.30 %**

*** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.*

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Date:** 6/13/95
Test Portion: Baseline **Stack Diam.** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs** 66653
Equipment Type: Police Car **ID #:** 322 **Baro** 29.92
Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG) 1.000 **Temp:**

Time:

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3980	161	0.02	0.01	10	7.71	8	
	165	0.02	0.01	10	7.73	7.9	
	168.8	0.02	0.01	10	7.67	7.9	
4200	174.4	0.02	0.01	9	7.59	8	
	177	0.02	0.01	9	7.6	7.9	
	181.4	0.02	0.01	8	7.62	7.9	
4170	184.2	0.02	0.01	9	7.62	7.9	
	188	0.02	0.01	9	7.62	7.8	
4165	191.4	0.02	0.01	9	7.56	7.8	
4128.750	176.800	.020	.010	9.222	7.636	7.900	Mean
100.3639211	10.447	.000	.000	.667	.056	.071	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw1** **pf1** **PF1**
 9.22E-06 0.0001 .076 .079 29.538 86,148 2,591,442

Company Name: Provo City **Location:** Provo, Utah **Test Date:** 9/1/95
Test Portion: Treated **Stack Diam:** 2.5 Inches
Engine Type: 5.0 Ford **Mile/Hrs:** 68976
Equipment Type Police Car **ID #:** 322 **Baro:** 30.06
Fuel Sp. Gravity: 1.000 **Temp:**
SG Corr Factor: 1.000 **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp	Pv Inch	CO	HC	CO2	O2	
3970	161	0.02	0.01	12	6.6	10.5	
	165	0.02	0.01	10	6.68	10.4	
4030	168.8	0.02	0.01	10	6.7	10.2	
	174.4	0.02	0.01	10	6.7	10.2	
4090	177	0.02	0.01	10	6.81	10.3	
	181.4	0.02	0.01	10	6.76	10.2	
4080	184.2	0.02	0.01	9	6.7	10.3	
	188	0.02	0.01	9	6.75	10.1	
	191.4						
4042.500	176.800	.020	.010	10.000	6.713	10.275	Mean
55	10.447	.000	.000	.926	.063	.128	Std Dev

VFHC **VFCO** **VFCO2** **VFO2** **Mtw2** **pf2** **PF2**
 1.00E-05 0.0001 .067 .103 29.486 97,784 2,948,360

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

2,948,360

****% Change PF = 13.77 %**

**** A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.**

APPENDIX 2

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PNUW city Location: PNUW Test Date: 6/1/95
 Test Portion: Baseline Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches
 Engine Make/Model: LT-10 Cummins Miles/Hours: 21193 I.D.#: 814 (16233 Utah)
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck
 Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.824 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.07 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	435	1.4	.01	10	2.97	15.9	6.0
	438	1.4	.01	10	3.03	15.7	
	442.4	1.4	.01	10	3.05	15.9	
	443	1.4	.01	10	3.04	15.8	
	443.6	1.4	.01	10	3.05	15.9	
	444.6	1.4	.01	10	3.05	15.8	
	447.2	1.4	.01	10	3.06	15.8	
	446.2	1.4	.01	10	3.07	15.8	

Fan on

Water 180°
 Oil pres 40psi

1.02

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: L-10 Cummins Miles/Hours: 2864 I.D.#: 814
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.824 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 4:05

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P. Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	423	1.4	01	8	279	153	6
1	428.2	1.4	01	9	278	152	
	427	1.3	02	9	282	154	
	431.6	1.35	01	6	281	154	
	434	1.35	01	8	281	155	
	434.6	1.35	01	8	281	154	
	434.6	1.35	02	9	285	154	
✓	435.8	1.35	02	9	286	154	

End Time 4:15

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: Inches
 Engine Make/Model: LT-Commis Miles/Hours: 22677 I.D.#: 812
 Type of Equipment: garbage truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .821 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.03 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	397	1.0	.02	23	2.16	17.1	4.5
	397.2	1.0	.02	23	2.17	17.1	
	397.4	1.0	.02	23	2.20	17.1	
	400.6	1.0	.02	23	2.15	17.2	
	400.2	1.0	.02	21	2.15	17.2	
	401.2	1.0	.02	23	2.15	17.2	
	401.2	1.0	.02	22	2.15	17.1	
	401.4	1.0	.02	23	2.17	17.1	

Water Temp → 180
 Oil Pressure 45 psi

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo UT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: L-10 Cummins Miles/Hours: 2990 I.D.#: 812
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .822 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.08 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:35

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P. Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	388.8 401.4	1.2	.02	17	2.26	16.2	5
2200	404.2	1.2	.02	17	2.22	16.2	
2200	412.2	1.1	.02	15	2.25	16.2	
2200	408.2	1.2	.02	15	2.25	16.2	
2200	409.4	1.2	.02	14	2.28	16.4	
2200	411.8	1.1	.02	14	2.33	16.2	
2200	406.8	1.1	.02	14	2.14	16.2	
2200	413.4	1.2	.02	14	2.30	16.1	
X 2000	377.8 377.8	.9	.02	12	2.12	16.4	

End Time 2:55

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave Brent

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 38836
 Engine Make/Model: L-10 Cummins Miles/Hours: 3992 I.D.#: 810
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .825 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.05 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:25

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P. Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	388.0	.9	.02	24	2.15	16.3	4
	390.2	.95	.02	24	2.15	16.3	
	392.4	.9	.03	24	2.13	16.6	
	400.4	.9	.02	25	2.09	16.5	
	391.4	.95	.02	24	2.10	16.6	
	398.6	.95	.02	24	2.05	16.6	
	400	.95	.02	24	2.04	16.6	
✓	390.8	.95	.02	26	2.04	16.6	

End Time 3:35

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Prow City Location: Prow Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: ☒ Treated: ☐ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 3544 inches
 Engine Make/Model: CT Cummin Miles/Hours: 3632 I.D.#: 810 (20853 Utah)
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck
 Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.814 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.09 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2100	390	1.0	02	22	2.20	17.1	55
	395	1.0	02	24	2.19	17.0	
	400	1.0	02	22	2.19	17.0	
	401	1.0	02	23	2.22	17.1	
	405	1.0	02	24	2.22	17.0	
	406	1.0	02	22	2.22	17.0	
	401 400	1.0	02	23	2.25	17.1	
	400	1.0	02	22	2.23	17.0	

180°
water
Temp
0.1
40 psi

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 8-28-95

Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: Cat 3208 T Miles/Hours: 145493 I.D.#: 731

Type of Equipment: Dump Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.824 @: _____ (°F)


Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1850	281.9	.8	04	17	151	175	3
	286.8	.7	04	17	151	175	
	292.2	.75	04	17	151	178	
	295.8	.75	03	18	154	177	
	290.4	.75	04	15	155	177	
	299.8	.75	03	17	154	177	
	301.0	.75	04	21	154	177	
✓	301.8	.75	03	17	153	176	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave 

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 6/10/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: 328 TCAT Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Ford 328 TCAT Miles/Hours: 144200 I.D.#: 731
 Type of Equipment: Garbage Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .833 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.07 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
1850 1850	273.4	.8	.04	21	1.54	18.0	3.5
	274.0	.8	.04	23	1.52	18.0	
	279.4	.8	.04	21	1.52	18.1	
	280.0	.8	.4	21	1.53	18.1	
	282.2	.8	.04	22	1.52	18.2	
	282.6	.8	.04	22	1.52	18.1	
	283	.8	.04	23	1.54	18.2	
	283	.8	.04	23	1.53	18.1	

O-1 Norm
Temp Norm
Center

Smoke S.S

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Proud City Location: Proud Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: Inches

Engine Make/Model: Turbo 3208 CAT Miles/Hours: 42717 I.D.#: 730
 Type of Equipment: Dump Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: 1.827 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.05 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time: 3:00

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	335	1.4	.04	38	1.75	17.8	4.5
	336	1.5	.04	38	1.75	17.8	
	336.8	1.5	.04	38	1.75	17.8	
	338	1.5	.04	34	1.75	17.8	
	338.2	1.5	.04	35	1.75	17.7	
	339.2	1.5	.04	35	1.75	17.7	
	339.4	1.5	.04	35	1.75	17.8	
	339.8	1.5	.04	35	1.75	17.7	

End Time 3:10

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: Cat 3208T Miles/Hours: 44648 I.D.#: 730
 Type of Equipment: Pump Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .825 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:15

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P. Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	340.2	1.35	.04	18	1.73	17.3	4
1	341.6	1.3	.04	17	1.72	17.3	
	343	1.35	.04	18	1.74	17.3	
	344.8	1.35	.04	18	1.74	17.3	
	347.2	1.35	.04	18	1.75	17.2	
	348.8	1.35	.04	18	1.75	17.1	
	348.2	1.35	.04	19	1.71	17.3	
	348.4	1.35	.04	18	1.71	17.2	

End Time 3:22

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Pow City Location: Pow Test Date: 6/10/45
 Test Portion: Baseline: 7 Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches

Engine Make/Model: CAT 3208 Miles/Hours: 45895 I.D.#: 153
 Type of Equipment: Dump Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .832 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.07 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	276	.8	.06	21	1.40	18.3	2.5
	276	.8	.06	21	1.42	18.3	
	277.8	.8	.06	20	1.42	18.3	
	278.2	.8	.06	19	1.40	18.3	
	279.4	.8	.06	21	1.41	18.3	
	279.6	.8	.06	21	1.41	18.3	
	280.4	.8	.06	22	1.40	18.3	
	281	.8	.06	22	1.40	18.3	

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 9-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Cat 3208 Miles/Hours: 46403 I.D.#: 153
 Type of Equipment: Dump Truck
 Fuel Specific Gravity: .834 (2) @: 95.2 (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:15

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	281	.75	.06	20	1.38	178	1.5
2000	283.6	.75	.06	19	1.38	179	
2000	285.6	.7	.06	17	1.39	177	
2000	286.8	.7	.06	17	1.38	178	
2000	290.4	.7	.06	19	1.40	175	
2000	291.6	.7	.06	17	1.40	178	
2000	292.2	.7	.06	17	1.40	176	
2000	293.8	.7	.06	18	1.40	178	

End Time 2:25

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave ~~Brent~~ Brent

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches

Engine Make/Model: CAT 3208 Miles/Hours: 65754 I.D.#: 33
 Type of Equipment: boom truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .830 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.03 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	374.6	2.0	.05	27	2.02	17.3	5.0
	380	2.0	.05	30	2.01	17.3	
	380.2	2.0	.05	30	2.01	17.3	
	381.6	2.0	.05	31	2.01	17.2	
	381.4	2.0	.05	31	2.02	17.2	
	382.6	2.0	.05	31	2.01	17.2	
	383.2	2.0	.05	31	2.01	17.1	
	384.2	2.0	.05	31	2.02	17.1	

Water &
oil
checked
normal

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, UT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: Cat 3208 Miles/Hours: 66783 I.D.#: 33
 Type of Equipment: Boom Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.26 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	427	1.9	04	14	195	169	4
	420.4	1.9	04	14	211 ^F	166	
	420.4	1.9	04	17	198	169	
	419.2	1.9	04	17	197	168	
	421.9	1.9	04	17	200 ^F	168	
	423.8	1.9	04	17	212 ^F	165	
	417.2	1.89	04	17	197	169	
✓	410.6	1.89	04	17	197	167	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Penn City Location: Penn Test Date: 6/1/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Int'l 466 DT Miles/Hours: 5681 I.D.#: 18
 Type of Equipment: Boom Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .826 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.03 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	428.2	.6	.05	24	3.31	15.3	4.0
[Handwritten bracket]	428.2	.8	.05	24	3.31	15.3	
	432.2	.8	.05	24	3.30	15.3	
	433.4	.8	.05	24	3.31	15.3	
	433.4	.8	.05	24	3.31	15.3	
	435.4	.8	.05	24	3.30	15.3	
	435	.8	.05	24	3.30	15.3	
	436.2	.8	.05	25	3.3	15.3	

Oil pressure
60
Water Temp
170

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Puro City Location: Puro Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Comin LT-10 Miles/Hours: 153910 I.D.#: 732
 Type of Equipment: Dump Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .822 @: (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 30.05 Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2200	478	3.0	.10	269	2.36	16.7	8.5
	480	3.0	.10	278	2.36	16.7	
	480.2	3.0	.10	280	2.36	16.7	
	480.2	3.0	.10	280	2.36	16.7	
	478.4	3.0	.10	280	2.37	16.7	
	482.6	3.0	.10	265	2.35	16.7	
	482.6	3.0	.10	269	2.37	16.6	
	483.2	3.0	.10	273	2.37	16.6	

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Water
15.2
170

0.7
30 PSI

Fuel
Very Dark
Might have
high water
content

Lot of white smoke

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Pow City Location: Pow Test Date: 6/11/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Dump Truck Miles/Hours: 169257 I.D.#: 733
 Type of Equipment: Intermodal LT Common

Fuel Specific Gravity: .825 @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.05 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time:

Water Temp
 180°
 Oil press
 30psi

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
<u>1200</u> <u>120</u>	<u>480.4</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>2.78</u>	<u>16.1</u>	<u>6.5</u>
	<u>481.4</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>2.78</u>	<u>16.2</u>	
	<u>482</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>2.79</u>	<u>16.1</u>	
	<u>483.4</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>2.80</u>	<u>16.1</u>	
	<u>484.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>2.78</u>	<u>16.2</u>	
	<u>485.2</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>2.79</u>	<u>16.2</u>	
	<u>482.4</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>.06</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>2.79</u>	<u>16.2</u>	
	<u>484.8</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>.05</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>2.78</u>	<u>16.2</u>	

End Time

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo, VT Test Date: 8-28-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: 31261 Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Intl 466DT Miles/Hours: 5843 I.D.#: 18
 Type of Equipment: Boom Truck

Fuel Specific Gravity: .825 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 30.03 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2000	422	.75	04	17	306	148	4
	425.6	.75	04	17	307	148	
	429.6	.7	04	17	310	150	
	431.6	.7	04	17	310	149	
	433.8	.7	04	17	310	150	
	434.4	.75	04	16	307	150	
	436.4	.75	04	17	307	150	
✓	437.2	.75	04	14	309	149	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Craig & Dave

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Peavo City Location: Peavo Test Date: 8.29
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: _____ Miles/Hours: 46269 I.D.#: 379
 Type of Equipment: EXPLODER
 Fuel Specific Gravity: 3007 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 2:15

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2500	371 372	.06	03	4	1161	4	
	380	.06	02	4	1152	3	
	384.6	.06	03	3	1224	2	
	387.8	.06	03	4	1226	1	
	390.8	.06	02	4	1151	4	
	393.2	.06	02	3	1151	3	
	396.2	.06	01	8	1220	2	
✓	398		01	6	1222	2	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: _____

Signature of Technicians: _____

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Pond City Location: Pond Test Date: 6/12/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: Inches

Engine Make/Model: Ford Explorer Miles/Hours: 43973 I.D.#: 379
 Type of Equipment: Police Car

Fuel Specific Gravity: @: (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time: 1:15 PM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
2500	381.8	.06	.03	9	13.64	1.6	
✓	388.4	.06	.03	9	13.62	1.7	
✓	400.2	.06	.03	8	13.55	2.0	
✓	406.2	.06	.03	8	13.46 13.51	2.0	
✓	407.8	.06	.03	8	13.46	2.1	
✓	409.6	.08	.02	7	13.46	2.1	
✓	412.6	.08	.03	7	13.37	2.1	
✓	415.8	.08	.03	6	13.37	2.1	
✓	419.2	.08	.03	6	13.39	2.1	
✓	419.4	.08	.03	7	13.37	2.1	

No AG

End Time 1:30 PM

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: P-City Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 FORD Miles/Hours: 63650 I.D.#: 342
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VICTORIA

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3820	133.0	.02	01	13	744	8.5	
	139.4	.02	.01	9	744	8.3	
	143.4	.02	.01	10	738	8.2	
3740	146.4	.02	.01	10	738	8.2	
	154.6	.02	.01	9	739	8.2	
	159.9	.02	.01	9	741	8.1	
3985	162.6	.02	01	9	738	8.2	
	168.0	02	01	9	739	8.1	
3820	168.4	02	01	9	730	8.1	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 8-29-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: 5.0 Ford Miles/Hours: 64746 I.D.#: 342
 Type of Equipment: Police car

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

No
 A.C. on

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3866	137.2	.02	01	10	426	234	
3871	137.8	.02	01	12	428	234	
	138.4	.02	01	12	605	106	
	136.6	.02	01	14	649	99	
3890	135.8	.02	01	10	660	9.3	
	135.2	.02	01	10	660	9.1	
	135.2	.02	01	12	656	9.5	
3900	135.4	.02	01	12	658	9.3	
	136	.02	01	12	656	9.3	
3905							

TIGHTENED
 HOSE CLAMP

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Perry Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 2.5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 FORD Miles/Hours: 59197 I.D.#: 331
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VICTORIA

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3600	160.8	.02	.02	13	7.06	8.9	
	163.8	.02	.03	14	7.08	8.9	
	166.2	.02	.03	17	7.08	8.8	
3590	167.8	.02	.03	14	7.07	8.8	
	168.8	.02	.03	10	7.21	8.7	?
3	170.8	.02	.04	17	7.08	8.9	
3600	173.6	.02	.03	17	7.12	8.8	
	175.6	.02	.03	17	7.08	8.7	
3640	177.8	.02	.04	14	7.12	8.8	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PRNO City Location: PRNO Test Date: 8-29
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: 5.0 Ford Miles/Hours: 60367 I.D.#: 331
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VIC

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P. Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3620	165.4	.03	01	17	572	95	
3	160.2	.02	01	17	572	95	
3660	157.8	.02	01	19	562	99	?
	153.2	.02	01	19	582	98	
3680	150.2	.02	01	15	580	97	
3710	148.8	.02	01	22	586	96	
	146.0	.02	01	17	577	100	
3655	145.4	02	01	16	577	98	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: _____

Signature of Technicians: _____

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: P City Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 7.5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: Ford 5.0 Miles/Hours: 69620 I.D.#: 320
 Type of Equipment: Crown Victoria

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3900	158.2	.02	.03	19	8.26	7.2	1
	163.2	.02	.02	19	8.12	7.2	?
	169.2	.02	.02	19	8.01	7.2	
3850	171.2	.02	.03	19	8.00	7.2	
	174.4	.02	.02	18	8.00	7.2	
	176.8	.02	.03	19	7.99	7.2	
3925	179.2	.02	.02	19	8.00	7.2	
	180.2	.02	.02	19	7.95	7.2	
	182.0	.02	.02	15?	7.94	7.2	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 8.29.95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: 5.0 Ford Miles/Hours: 70785 I.D.#: 320
 Type of Equipment: POLICE CAR

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3860	125.8	.02	01	23	696	90	
	126.2	.02	01	19	691	90	
3930	126.6	.02	01	25	696	8.7	
	127.1	.02	01	21	708	86	
3965	128.6	.02	01	22	714	86	
3980	129	.02	01	22	712	85	
	129	.02	01	18	705	86	
3980	130.2	.02	01	19	714	84	

No
A/C

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: _____

Signature of Technicians: _____

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Perry Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: x Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 Ford Miles/Hours: 74276 I.D.#: ~~358~~ 363
 Type of Equipment: Crown Victoria

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3890	118.4	.02	.01	24	7.26	8.6	
3940	128.6	.02	.01	14	7.30	8.4	
	133.8	.02	.01	15	7.25	8.4	
	137.8	.02	.01	14	7.23	8.3	
3900	142.2	.02	.01	15	7.25	8.2	
	147.0	.02	.01	17	7.20	8.2	
	150.4	.02	.01	15	7.23	8.1	
3930	154.0	.02	.01	15	7.14	8.2	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PRIMO City Location: PRIMO Test Date: 9-1-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5-0 Ford Miles/Hours: 77282 I.D.#: 363
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VIC

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3820	108.4	.02	01	39	671	104	
	108.8	.02	01	35	671	104	
3830	109.2	.02	01	35	667	106	
	109.4	.02	01	35	669	105	
3850	109.6	.02	01	32	662	106	
	109.8	.02	01	32	660	105	
3860	110.	.02	01	33	662	107	
	110.2	.02	00	28	662	10.5	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: _____

Signature of Technicians: _____

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 9-1
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 FORD Miles/Hours: 77248 I.D.#: 361
 Type of Equipment: Crown Vic

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 4:15

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3960	1094	02	01	17	712	99	
	1094	02	01	15	708	99	
3900	1098	02	01	15	732	96	
	1102	02	01	13	733	96	
	1106	02	01	14	725	97	
	1108	02	01	14	732	95	
3930	1116	02	01	13	725	95	
	1120	02	01	14	722	95	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: _____

Signature of Technicians: _____

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: P C. TY Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5-0 FORD Miles/Hours: 74794 I.D.#: 361
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VICTORIA

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P-Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3950	153.	.02	.01	9	7.40	8.4	
	157	.02	.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	162.2	.02	.01	9	7.40	8.1	
3960	165.1	.02	.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	169.4	.02	.01	9	7.36	8.2	
	169.9	.02	.01	7	7.33	8.3	
3960	175.8	.02	.01	9	7.35	8.3	
	178.8	.02	.01	9	7.34	8.2	
3960	180.2	.02	.01	10	7.35	8.1	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Provo City Location: Provo Test Date: 9-1
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 Ford Miles/Hours: 73772 I.D.#: 344
 Type of Equipment: Crown Vic

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 405

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
4320	106.6	02	01	18	670	103	
	105.2	02	01	15	660	105	
	1054	02	01	15	660	105	
4460	107	02	01	10	662	103	
	1074	02	01	10	669	104	
	108	02	01	10	669	102	
4160	1086	02	01	13	671	10.2	
	109	02	01	12	675	101	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: P City Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 FORD Miles/Hours: 70601 I.D.#: 344
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VICTORIA

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
4100	133.4	.02	01	18	7.09	8.9	
	140.0	.02	.01	15	7.08	8.7	
	147.0	.02	.01	14	6.97	8.6	
4390	156.6	.02	.01	12	6.98	8.5	
	160.6	.02	.01	10	6.98	8.5	
	165.5	.02	01	10	6.99	8.5	
4450	165.4	.02	.01	10	6.98	8.5	
	169.0	.02	.01	10	6.99	8.5	
	171.4	02	01	10	6.98	8.5	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PRIMO City Location: PRIMO Test Date: 9-1
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 50 Ford Miles/Hours: 68976 I.D.#: 322
 Type of Equipment: CROWN

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 3006 Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3970	1130	02	01	12	660	10.5	
	115	02	01	10	668	10.4	
4030	1168	02	01	10	670	10.2	
	1174	02	01	10	670	10.2	
4090	1184	02	01	10	681	10.3	
	119	02	01	10	676	10.2	
4080	1198	02	01	9	670	10.3	
	120.4	02	01	9	675	10.1	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: P CITY Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 2.5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 FORD Miles/Hours: 66653 I.D.#: 322
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VICTORIA

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
3980	161.0	.02	.01	10	7.71	8.0	
	165.0	.02	.01	10	7.73	7.9	
	168.8	.02	.01	10	7.67	7.9	
4200	174.4	.02	.01	9	7.59	8.0	
	177.0	.02	.01	9	7.60	7.9	
	181.4	.02	.01	8	7.62	7.9	
4170	184.2	.02	.01	9	7.62	7.9	
4165	188.0	.02	.01	9	7.62	7.8	
4165	191.4	.02	.01	9	7.56	7.8	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PROVO CITY Location: PROVO Test Date: 9-1-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5-0 FORD Miles/Hours: 61304 I.D.#: 319
 Type of Equipment: CROWN VIC

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 30.04 Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
4450	117.6	.02	61	15	636	10.5	
	117.6	.02	01	15	634	10.5	
4500	118.6	.02	01	15	631	11.0	
	117.6	.02	01	12	640	10.9	
4530	117.2	.02	01	17	642	10.8	
	117.2	.02	01	17	642	10.8	
4530	117.4	.02	01	15	642	10.9	
	117.6	.02	01	15	642	10.8	

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: PCITY Location: _____ Test Date: 6-13-95
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 2.5 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 5.0 L Ford Miles/Hours: 58,444 I.D.#: 319
 Type of Equipment: Canada Victoria (1991)

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: _____ Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: _____

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H ₂ O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO ₂	% O ₂	Smoke Number
4500 ON	173.2	.06	.01	15	7.17	11.2	
Passenger Acceleration	172.6	.05	.01	14	7.17	11.2	
	172.3	.06	.01	15	7.17	11.2	
4400	173.0	.06	.01	15	7.15	11.2	
	173.4	.06	.01	15	7.15	11.2	
	173.2	.06	.01	14	7.12	11.1	
4400	172.6	.06	.01	14	7.14	11.2	
	172.4	.06	.01	14	7.23	11.1	
4400	172.4	.06	.01	10	7.24	11.1	

Passenger
 Side Exhaust
 AC ON

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

APPENDIX 3

TABLE I - DIESEL

<u>UNIT #</u>	<u>ENGINE TYPE</u>	<u>BASE Pf</u>	<u>FPC Pf</u>	<u>%CHG</u>
814	L-10 Cummins	356,369	388,000	8.88
*812	L-10 Cummins	570,376	521,256	-8.72
810	L-10 Cummins	557,758	606,949	7.62
731	CAT 3208T	820,525	857,568	5.64
730	CAT 3208T	545,391	584,443	7.42
153	CAT 3208T	875,311	943,823	7.57
33	CAT 3208T	420,452	446,563	6.72
18	Int'l 466 DT	432,036	477,252	10.60

AVG: **7.78%**

* Could not reproduce rpm, and not included in average

NOTE: A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

TABLE II - GASOLINE

<u>UNIT #</u>	<u>ENGINE TYPE</u>	<u>BASE Pf</u>	<u>FPC Pf</u>	<u>%CHG</u>
*379	Ford Explorer	937,059	1,130,113	20.60
363	5.0 Ford	2,647,231	2,878,860	8.75
361	5.0 Ford	2,666,345	2,721,627	2.07
344	5.0 Ford	2,771,458	2,918,217	5.30
342	5.0 Ford	2,623,919	2,911,233	10.95
+331	5.0 Ford	2,761,355	3,237,734	17.25
322	5.0 Ford	2,591,442	2,948,360	13.77
320	5.0 Ford	2,466,946	2,696,101	9.29
>319	5.0 Ford	1,604,654	3,082,871	92.12

AVG: 8.35%

* Inconsistent CO2 Data **

+ Statistical Outlier **

> Radical Drop in Pressure Velocity **

** Not included in average

NOTE: A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

TABLE III - CARBON MONOXIDE

<u>UNIT #</u>	<u>ENGINE TYPE</u>	<u>BASE CO</u>	<u>FPC CO</u>	
814	L-10 Cummins	.010	.014	
*812	L-10 Cummins	.020	.020	
810	L-10 Cummins	.020	.021	
731	CAT 3208T	.040	.036	
730	CAT 3208T	.040	.040	
153	CAT 3208T	.060	.060	
33	CAT 3208T	.050	.040	
18	Int'l 466 DT	.050	.040	
379	Ford Explorer	.029	.021	
342	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
331	5.0 Ford	.031	.010	
320	5.0 Ford	.023	.010	
363	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
361	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
344	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
322	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
319	5.0 Ford	.010	.010	
AVERAGE		.0255	.0219	%CHG -14.2%

* Could not reproduce rpm, and not included in average

TABLE IV - SMOKE SPOT NUMBERS (EXHAUST SMOKE DENSITY)

<u>UNIT #</u>	<u>ENGINE TYPE</u>	<u>BASE SS</u>	<u>FPC SS</u>	<u>%CHG</u>
18	Int'l 466 DT	4.0	4.0	00
33	CAT 3208T	5.0	4.0	-20
731	CAT 3208T	3.5	3.0	-14
814	L-10 Cummins	6.0	5.0	-17
810	L-10 Cummins	5.5	4.0	-27
730	CAT 3208T	4.5	4.0	-11
*812	L-10 Cummins	4.5	5.0	11
153	CAT 3208T	2.5	1.5	-40

AVG: -18.4

* Could not reproduce rpm, and not included in average

APPENDIX 4

Item: 26 Code: CUT

4PM Fri 1 September	UTAH this hour							TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	91 E	8	91	10	17%	29.98f	91	63	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP									
Logan 2NW	dry	87 NE	3G11	90		29%	30.03f	90	51	
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	mstly cldy	96 CALM		96	20	17%	30.01f	96	69	
Hill AFB	dry	91 SW	3	92	50	22%	30.08s	91	69	
Clearfield									
Kaysville	no report	96 NW	3G7	96		12%		98	60	
Farmington									
W Bountiful	mstly cldy	69 S	6	70	10	53%	29.99f	71	42	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	mstly cldy	92 S	18G25	95	25	25%	30.01s	98	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	90						90	74	
Pt of Mountain	dry	95 S	9G18	99		24%		99	74	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	ptly cldy	85 SE	11	89	10	32%	30.06r	94	60	
Santaquin									
Dugway									
Delta									
Milford									
Cedar City	rain shwr	70 W	11	70	30	59%	30.24s	87	60	
Bryce Canyon	mstly cldy	78 W	8	80	30	35%		78	49	
St George AP	no report	90 E	7	94	10	28%	29.99f	94	77	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal	mstly cldy	86 CALM		86	40	24%	30.11f	86	51	
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River									
Hanksville	mstly cldy	90 NW	6	92	60	24%	30.10f		58	
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	96 N	5G11	96		17%	30.05f	97	76	
Blanding									

Item: 26 Code: CUT

3PM Fri 1 September		UTAH this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	90	E 9	90	10	17%	30.01f	90	63	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP									
Logan 2NW	dry	87	NE 3G11	90		29%	30.03f	90	51	
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	mstly cldy	93	S 6	94	20	21%	30.03f	93	69	
Hill AFB	mstly cldy	91	SW 3	92	50	22%	30.08f	91	69	
Clearfield									
Kaysville									
Farmington									
W Bountiful	ptly cldy	71	S 10	74	10	48%	30.02f	71	42	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	mstly cldy	98	SE 9	101	25	20%	30.01f	98	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	90						90	74	
Pt of Mountain	dry	97	SE 2G9	102		24%		99	74	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	91	SE 11	95	10	26%	30.05f	94	60	
Santaquin									
Dugway									
Delta									
Milford									
Cedar City	cloudy	87	E 9	89	50	26%	30.19s	87	60	
Bryce Canyon	ptly cldy	76	NE 17	78	30	37%		76	49	
St George AP	ptly cldy	85	NW 9	92	10	40%	30.01f	94	77	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal	mstly cldy	86	CALM	86	40	24%	30.11f	86	51	
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River									
Hanksville	mstly cldy	90	NW 6	92	60	24%	30.10f		58	
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	97	NE 8G13	98		16%	30.07f	97	76	
Blanding									

Item: 26 Code: CUT

2PM Tue 29 August	UTAH this hour							TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	88	NE 11G20	87	10	11%	30.02f	88	65	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP									
Logan 2NW	dry	83	E 13G23	85		30%	30.03s	84	47	
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	clear	87	SW 10	86	30	18%	30.05f	87	66	
Hill AFB	ptly cldy	86	NW 16	92	50	36%	30.10s	86	68	
Clearfield									
Kaysville	no report	87	NW 6G15	87		16%		88	70	
Farmington									
W Bountiful	no report	68	E 11G21	69	10	71%	30.08f	68	54	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	ptly cldy	92	NW 13	92	35	16%	30.04s	92	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	85						85	69	
Pt of Mountain	dry	89	SE 9G21	91		24%		91	73	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	86	SW 10	90	10	31%	30.07s	87	57	
Santaquin									
Dugway	clear	94	W 6G16	94	50	9%	30.04f	94	58	
Delta	ptly cldy	88	SW 11	89	50	23%			55	
Milford									
Cedar City	clear	88	S 21	87	60	18%	30.18f	88	61	
Bryce Canyon	clear	78	W 11G21	75	30	25%		78	43	
St George AP	no report	100	W 32G38	105	10	12%	29.94f	100	72	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal	ptly cldy	85	W 9	84	40	23%	30.07f	85	54	
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River									
Hanksville									
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	92	NE 13G14	92		16%	30.10f	92	70	
Blanding									

Item: 27 Code: CUT

1PM Tue 29 August		UTAH this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	86	NE 7	85	10	11%	30.03f	86	65	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP									
Logan 2NW	dry	83	E 13G23	85		30%	30.03s	84	47	
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	clear	87	SW 10	86	30	18%	30.05f	87	66	
Hill AFB	ptly cldy	86	NW 16	92	50	36%	30.10s	86	68	
Clearfield									
Kaysville	no report	87	NW 6G15	87		16%		88	70	
Farmington									
W Bountiful	no report	67	E 11G20	67	10	70%	30.09f	68	54	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	ptly cldy	92	NW 13	92	35	16%	30.04s	92	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	85						85	69	
Pt of Mountain	dry	89	SE 9G21	91		24%		91	73	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	87	SW 14	91	10	30%	30.07f	87	57	
Santaquin									
Dugway	clear	94	W 6G16	94	50	9%	30.04f	94	58	
Delta	ptly cldy	88	SW 11	89	50	23%			55	
Milford									
Cedar City	clear	88	S 21	87	60	18%	30.18f	88	61	
Bryce Canyon	clear	78	W 11G21	75	30	25%		78	43	
St George AP	no report	100	W 13G22	103	10	14%	29.96f	100	72	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal	ptly cldy	85	W 9	84	40	23%	30.07f	85	54	
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River									
Hanksville									
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	92	NE 13G14	92		16%	30.10f	92	70	
Blanding									

Item: 27 Code: CUT

4PM Mon 28 August	UTAH this hour							TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	90 E	20G26	89	10	13%	29.97f	90	62	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP	ptly cldy	90 S	17	89	40	16%	30.00f			
Logan 2NW									
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	ptly cldy	92 S	11	92	25	16%	30.00f	92	63	
Hill AFB	ptly cldy	90 S	11	94	60	27%	30.06f	90	64	
Clearfield									
Kaysville									
Farmington									
W Bountiful	no report	77 CALM		87	10	62%	30.01r	80	61	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	ptly cldy	93 S	16	94	15	18%	30.00f	93	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	88						88	69	
Pt of Mountain	dry	91 SE 8G21		94		25%		92	72	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	88 E 3		88	10	20%	30.05f	88	56	
Santaquin									
Dugway	clear	95 S	16G30	96	50	9%	29.99s	95	51	0.15
Delta									
Milford									
Cedar City	clear	87 S	14	86	60	17%	30.16f	87	61	
Bryce Canyon									
St George AP	no report	99 W	15G23	102	10	13%	29.95f	99	72	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal									
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River	ptly cldy	90 S	5	95	50	31%			62	
Hanksville									
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	92 NE 8G15		92		16%	30.07f	92	70	
Blanding	mstly cldy	83 SW 11		88	50	38%			59	

Item: 27 Code: CUT

2PM Mon 28 August		UTAH this hour						TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	90	E 20G26	89	10	13%	29.97f	90	62	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP									
Logan 2NW									
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	ptly cldy	92	S 11	92	25	16%	30.02f	92	63	
Hill AFB	ptly cldy	90	S 16	98	60	35%	30.07s	90	64	
Clearfield									
Kaysville									
Farmington									
W Bountiful	no report	80	N 5	89	10	52%	30.00s	80	61	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	ptly cldy	91	SE 17	91	15	19%	30.02f	93	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove									
Pt of Mountain	dry	91	SE 9G20	94		25%		92	72	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	88	E 3	88	10	20%	30.05f	88	56	
Santaquin									
Dugway	mod rain	94	SW 16G30	95	50	9%	30.02s	94	51	0.15
Delta									
Milford									
Cedar City	ptly cldy	86	SW 15	84	40	18%	30.18f	86	61	
Bryce Canyon									
St George AP	no report	99	W 15G23	102	10	13%	29.95f	99	72	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal									
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River	ptly cldy	90	S 5	95	50	31%			62	
Hanksville									
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	92	NE 2G9	92		16%	30.08f	92	70	
Blanding	mstly cldy	83	SW 11	88	50	38%			59	

Item: 27 Code: CUT

5PM Mon 28 August	UTAH this hour							TODAY'S DATA		
TOWN	WEATHER	TEMP	WIND	FLSLK	VIS	HUM	BRMTR	HI	LOW	PCPN
Wendover	no report	91	SE 18	91	10	13%	29.94f	91	62	
Lakeside									
Clover									
Logan AP	ptly cldy	89	S 17	92	40	26%	29.98f			
Logan 2NW	dry	87	E 14G25	86		23%	30.00fr	88	44	
Randolph									
Garland									
Perry									
Brigham City									
Ogden	ptly cldy	92	S 11	92	25	16%	29.98f	92	63	
Hill AFB	ptly cldy	89	S 9	91	60	24%	30.04f	90	64	
Clearfield									
Kaysville	no report	88	SW 6G13	88		13%		91	59	
Farmington									
W Bountiful	no report	78	CALM	89	10	62%	30.01s	80	61	
Bountiful									
Salt Lake City	ptly cldy	92	S 16	92	15	19%	29.97f	93	68	
Magna									
Herriman									
Olympus Cove	dry	87						88	69	
Pt of Mountain	dry	91	SE 10G21	94		25%		92	72	
Solitude									
Alta 10500 ft									
Alta 9500 ft									
SnwBrd 11000'									
SnwBrd 9200'									
Park City									
Jupiter Peak									
Provo	no report	89	SW 3	89	10	21%	30.02f	89	56	
Santaquin									
Dugway	clear	95	SW 9	96	50	9%	29.98f	95	51	0.15
Delta	clear	82	S 9	84	50	32%				
Milford									
Cedar City	clear	88	SW 16G24	87	60	17%	30.14f	88	61	
Bryce Canyon									
St George AP	no report	101	SW 16G23	104	10	13%	29.93f	101	72	
Zion Ntl Park									
Clay Basin									
Flaming Gorge									
Myton									
Vernal	ptly cldy	88	S 9	92	40	29%	30.04fr	88	58	
Price									
Moab									
La Sal									
Canyonlands									
Green River	ptly cldy	90	S 5	95	50	31%			62	
Hanksville									
Bullfrog									
Lake Powell	no report	93	N 8G15	93		15%	30.05s	94	70	
Blanding	mstly cldy	83	SW 11	88	50	38%			59	

APPENDIX 5

Figure 1

CARBON MASS BALANCE FORMULAE

ASSUMPTIONS: $C_{12}H_{26}$ and $SG = 0.82$
 Time is constant
 Load is constant

DATA:

- Mwt = Molecular Weight
- pf1 = Calculated Performance Factor (Baseline)
- pf2 = Calculated Performance Factor (Treated)
- PF1 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Baseline exhaust mass)
- PF2 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Treated exhaust mass)
- CFM = Volumetric Flow Rate of the Exhaust
- SG = Specific Gravity of the Fuel
- VF = Volume Fraction
- d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
- Pv = Velocity pressure in inches of H_2O
- P_B = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
- Te = Exhaust temperature °F
- VFHC = "reading" ÷ 1,000,000
- VFCO = "reading" ÷ 100
- VFCO₂ = "reading" ÷ 100
- VFO₂ = "reading" ÷ 100

EQUATIONS:

$$Mwt = (VFHC)(86) + (VFCO)(28) + (VFCO_2)(44) + (VFO_2)(32) + [(1 - VFHC - VFCO - VFCO_2 - VFO_2)(28)]$$

$$pf1 \text{ or } pf2 = \frac{3099.6 \times Mwt}{86(VFHC) + 13.89(VFCO) + 13.89(VFCO_2)}$$

$$CFM = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{Pv}{1.325(P_B/ET + 460)}} \right)$$

$$PF1 \text{ or } PF2 = \frac{pf \times (Te + 460)}{CFM}$$

FUEL ECONOMY:
 PERCENT INCREASE (OR DECREASE)

$$\frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$$

Figure 2.

SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE CARBON MASS BALANCE

BASELINE:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{VFHC} &= 13.20/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000132\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{VFCO} &= 0.017/100 \\ &= 0.00017\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{VFCO}_2 &= 1.937/100 \\ &= 0.01937\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{VFO}_2 &= 17.10/100 \\ &= 0.171\end{aligned}$$

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mwt1} &= (0.0000132)(86) + (0.00017)(28) + (0.01937)(44) + (0.171)(32) \\ &\quad + [(1 - 0.0000132 - 0.00017 - 0.01937 - 0.171)(28)]\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Mwt1} = 28.995$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf1} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.995}{86(0.0000132) + 13.89(0.00017) + 13.89(0.01937)}$$

$$\text{pf1} = 329,809$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$CFM = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_B/ET + 460)}} \right)$$

d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches

P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O

P_B = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury

T_e = Exhaust temperature °F

$$CFM = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.80}{1.325(30.00/313.100 + 460)}} \right)$$

$$CFM = 2358.37$$

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$PF1 = \frac{329,809(313.1 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2358.37 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$PF1 = 108,115$$

TREATED:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

$$\begin{aligned} VF_{HC} &= 14.6/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000146 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} VF_{CO} &= .013/100 \\ &= 0.00013 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} VF_{CO_2} &= 1.826/100 \\ &= 0.01826 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} VF_{O_2} &= 17.17/100 \\ &= 0.1717 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\text{Mwt2} = (0.0000146)(86) + (0.00013)(28) + (0.01826)(44) + (0.1717)(32) + [(1-0.0000146-0.00013-0.01826-0.1717)(28)]$$

$$\text{Mwt2} = 28.980$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf2} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.980}{86(0.0000146) + 13.89(0.00013) + 13.89(0.01826)}$$

$$\text{pf2} = 349,927$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_B/ET + 460)}} \right)$$

d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches

P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O

P_B = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury

Te = Exhaust temperature °F

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.775}{1.325(29.86/309.02 + 460)}} \right)$$

$$\text{CFM} = 2320.51$$

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$\text{PF2} = \frac{349,927(309.02 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2320.51 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$= 115,966$$

Fuel Specific Gravity Correction Factor

Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity - Treated Fuel Specific Gravity / Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity + 1

$$.840 - .837 / .840 + 1 = 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times \text{Specific Gravity Correction}$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 116,384$$

Equation 6 (Percent Change in Engine Performance Factor:)

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = \frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = [(116,384 - 108,115) / 108,115] (100)$$

$$= +7.65$$

Note: A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

APPENDIX 6